E. MARTIN ESTRADA FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT United States Attorney MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Criminal Division CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RANEE A. KATZENSTEIN (Cal. Bar No. 187111) DEPUTY 4 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Major Frauds Section 5 STEVEN M. ARKOW (Cal. Bar No. 143755) Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section 6 1100 United States Courthouse 7 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2432/6975 8 Facsimile: (213) 894-6269 ranee.katzenstein@usdoj.gov 9 E-mail: steven.arkow@usdoj.gov 10 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:23-cr-00476-FLA 16 Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ 17 v. 18 MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ, aka "Marc Perez," 19 Defendant. 20 21 This constitutes the plea agreement between MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ, also known as "Marc Perez" ("defendant"), and the United 22 23 States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California (the 24 "USAO") in the investigation of insider trading involving shares of General Finance Corporation ("GFN"). This agreement is limited to 25 26 the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign

prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

27

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

2. Defendant agrees to:

- a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a single-count information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit "A" or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with wire fraud, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78ff; 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5: Securities Fraud (Insider Trading).
 - b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime or any act constituting obstruction of justice; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessment.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 3. The USAO agrees to:
 - a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.

- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d. Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A, without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be permissible through the substitution of community confinement or home detention as a result of the offense level falling within Zone B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.
- e. Except for criminal tax violations (including conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, or for violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1348, arising out of the facts set forth in the agreed-to factual basis below as well as defendant's trades in securities of GFN in February through April 15, 2021.

 Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged

conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

Defendant understands that for defendant to be quilty of 4. the crime charged in the sole count of the information, that is, insider trading, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, the following must be true: (1) defendant willfully used a device or scheme to defraud someone; (2) defendant's acts were undertaken in connection with the purchase or sale of shares of GFN, which were securities within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(10); (3) defendant directly or indirectly used a national securities exchange in connection with these acts; and (4) defendant acted knowingly. "Willfully" means intentionally undertaking an act for the wrongful purpose of defrauding or deceiving someone. Acting willfully does not require that defendant know that the conduct was unlawful. An act is done "knowingly" if defendant is aware of the act and did not act through ignorance, mistake, or accident. The government is not required to prove that defendant knew that his acts were unlawful.

PENALTIES

5. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, is: 20 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$5,000,000 or twice the

gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 6. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 7. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

 Defendant understands that once the Court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
- 8. Defendant and his counsel have discussed the fact that, and defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the conviction in this case makes it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported

from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future.

Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty in this case. Defendant further understands that removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including his attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of his conviction on his immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that his plea may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

FACTUAL BASIS

9. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts attached as Exhibit "B" and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 11 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

SENTENCING FACTORS

10. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the

Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.

11. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level: 8 [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.4(a)]

Specific Offense +12 [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.4(b)(1);
Characteristic: Gain Between \$550,000 and \$1,500,000 (approximately \$650,000)

The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraph 4(c) are met and if defendant has not committed, and refrains from committing, acts constituting obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, as discussed below. Subject to this paragraph, and subject to paragraph 24 below, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the offense level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted

obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section and to argue that defendant is not entitled to a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.

12. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

13. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to make additional arguments for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 14. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.

- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE AND WAIVER OF COLLATERAL ATTACK

16. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment based on an offense level of no more than 17 levels, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

(a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court, including, to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (e) any of the following conditions

of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §\$ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

- 17. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the conviction or sentence, except a
 post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective
 assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an
 explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing
 Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction.

 Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited
 to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty
 is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of
 facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of
 guilty.
- 18. The USAO agrees that, provided all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

19. Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

20. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of

all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

21. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the effective date of this agreement, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 22. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
 agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
 recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
 factors.
- 23. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of

2.7

sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 12 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

24. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one — not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court — can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

25. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 26. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney RANEE A. KATZENSTEIN STEVEN M. ARKOW Assistant United States Attorneys MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ Defendant 9/13/2023 DATE Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am quilty of the charge and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ Defendant 9-13-2023

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

16 17 D.

9/13/2023

Date

Attorney for Defendant

MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

1 2 EXHIBIT A 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 11 Plaintiff, INFORMATION 12 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78ff; 17 V. C.F.R. § 240.10b-5: Securities 13 MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ, Fraud (Insider Trading)] 14 aka "Marc Perez," 15 Defendant. 16 17 The United States Attorney charges: 18 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78ff; 19 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; 18 U.S.C. § 2(b)] 20 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS I. 21 At times relevant to this Information: 22 General Finance Corporation 23 General Finance Corporation ("GFN") was a specialty rental 24 services company that offered portable storage, modular space, liquid 25 containment, and pod storage space, and was based in Pasadena, 26 California. Shares of GFN were publicly traded on the National 27 Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Stock Market 28 ("NASDAQ"), a national securities exchange, under the symbol "GFN."

GFN was an issuer with securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and was required to file reports under Section 13 of the Exchange Act.

- 2. GFN had an Insider Trading and Disclosure Policy (the "Insider Trading Policy"), with an effective date of June 19, 2014, that applied to employees of GFN and family trusts controlled by or benefitting individuals subject to the policy.
- The Insider Trading Policy prohibited GFN employees from trading in GFN stock while in possession of material nonpublic information. The Insider Trading Policy defined material nonpublic information by stating the following: (a) "information should be regarded as material if it is likely that it would be considered important to an investor in making an investment decision regarding a purchase or sale of [GFN] Securities" and (b) "information about the [GFN] is considered to be 'nonpublic' if it is known within the company but not yet disclosed to the general public"; "information is considered 'public' only after it has been publicly available, through press release or otherwise, for at least twenty-four hours." The Insider Trading Policy also warned: "Don't give nonpublic information to others. Don't give nonpublic information concerning [GFN] (referred to as "tipping"), to any other person, including family members, and don't make recommendations or express opinions about trading in [GFN] securities under any circumstances."
- 4. GFN employees were routinely advised by GFN's General Counsel about insider trading laws and GFN's internal policies prohibiting GFN employees from trading in GFN stock while in possession of material nonpublic information.

28 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendant PEREZ

- 5. Defendant MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ, also known as "Marc Perez," was a resident of Glendora, California.
- 6. Defendant PEREZ held securities investment brokerage accounts at E*Trade, including: (a) an individual brokerage account ending xx-2942; and (b) an Individual Retirement account ("IRA") ending xx-6397.
- 7. Defendant PEREZ was the accountholder and user of the email account Mperezxxxxxxx@yahoo.com.
- 8. Beginning in or about July 2014, defendant PEREZ was employed as an accounting manager at GFN and reported directly to the chief financial officer ("CFO") at GFN. Defendant PEREZ also performed assignments for GFN's executive chairman of the board.
- 9. By virtue of defendant PEREZ's employment with GFN, job responsibilities, and reporting relationship to GFN's executive chairman of the board, defendant PEREZ had access to material nonpublic information belonging to GFN, including information about offers from other companies to acquire GFN, prior to such information being released to the investing public. As defendant PEREZ knew, information about offers to acquire GFN, when known to the investing public, would result in GFN's share price increasing.
- 10. As a corporate insider, defendant PEREZ owed a fiduciary duty and duty of trust and confidence to GFN and its shareholders.
- 11. Defendant PEREZ signed GFN's Insider Trading and Disclosure Policy Acknowledgement on or about June 27, 2014. GFN's Insider Trading Policy governed defendant PEREZ's trading in GFN's stock.
- 12. In addition, defendant PEREZ was routinely advised by GFN's General Counsel about insider trading laws and internal policies

prohibiting GFN employees from trading in GFN stock while in possession of material nonpublic information.

c. United Rentals, Inc.

13. United Rentals, Inc. ("URI") was the largest equipment rental company in the world and was based in Stamford, Connecticut.

URI publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "URI."

II. THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

- a. <u>Defendant PEREZ Obtains Inside Information about the</u>
 Acquisition of GFN
- 14. Between in or about February 2021, and on or about April 15, 2021, defendant PEREZ learned, by virtue of his role as accounting manager at GFN, job responsibilities, and reporting relationship to the CFO and the executive chairman of the board at GFN, including through receiving confidential emails and attachments to those emails forwarded to defendant's email address

 Mperezxxxxxxx@yahoo.com, that GFN was in the process of being acquired, which was nonpublic information that a reasonable investor would find to be material to the decision whether or not to trade in GFN securities. For example:
- a. On or about February 16, 2021, after GFN's executive chairman of the board received, via email, a non-binding offer from Company A to buy a wholly owned subsidiary of GFN, GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the offer to defendant PEREZ.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

- On or about February 22, 2021, after GFN's executive chairman of the board received, via email, a proposal from Company B to acquire GFN for a purchase price of \$17.00 per share, GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the letter concerning the potential acquisition of GFN to defendant PEREZ.
- On or about March 3, 2021, after GFN's executive C. chairman of the board received, via email, a letter of interest from URI presenting a proposal to acquire GFN, valuing GFN "in the range of \$19-20 per share," GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the letter of interest to defendant PEREZ. The letter of interest stated "[t]his proposal and its terms are confidential and must be treated as such."
- As accounting manager of GFN, defendant PEREZ had direct access to and regular conversations with GFN's CFO, who assigned defendant PEREZ the responsibility of assisting with the with gathering and verifying of financial information about GFN in connection with URI's acquisition of GFN.
- 16. Defendant PEREZ knew that an impending acquisition of GFN would be important to investors and, when publicly announced, would materially increase GFN's share price.
 - Defendant PEREZ's Securities Transactions on the Basis b. Of Material Nonpublic Information
- On or about March 2, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 5,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$10.74 for a total purchase price of \$53,693 in his individual brokerage account at E*Trade ending xx-2942.

- 1 | 2 | 3 |

- 18. On or about March 3, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 21,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.42 for a total purchase price of \$239,968 in his individual brokerage account at E*Trade ending \$xx-2942.
- 19. On or about March 3, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 5,250 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.29 for a total purchase price of \$59,275 in his IRA account at E*Trade ending \$xx-6397.
- 20. On or about March 8, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, caused to be bought 9,035 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.80 for a total purchase price of \$106,660 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.
- 21. On or about March 9, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, caused to be bought 20,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of \$12.06 for a total purchase price of \$241,201 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.
- 22. On or about March 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, caused to be bought 6,300 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of \$11.66 for a total purchase price of \$73,485 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.
- 23. On April 15, 2021, at the close of the market, GFN's stock price was \$12.17 per share.
 - C. Defendant PEREZ's Securities Transactions to Reap the
 Profits Made Possible by his Purchases of GFN Shared
 Based on Material Nonpublic Information
- 24. On April 15, 2021, following the market's close, URI issued a press release publicly announcing for the first time that it would

acquire GFN at \$19 per share in cash. Based on GFN's public announcement of the acquisition, the share price for GFN increased to approximately \$19 on April 16, 2021.

- 25. On or about April 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ sold 26,000 shares of GFN stock from his individual account at E*Trade ending xx-2942 at an average share price of approximately \$18.96 for a total sales price of \$492,960, and a profit of approximately \$199,299.
- 26. On or about April 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, sold 35,335 shares of GFN stock from the account at E*Trade ending xx-5876 at an average share price of \$18.97 for a total sales price of \$670,305, and a profit of approximately \$248,959.
- 27. On or about April 26, 2021, defendant PEREZ sold 5,250 shares of GFN stock from his IRA account at E*Trade ending xx-6397 at an average share price of approximately \$18.96 for a total sales price of \$99,550, and a profit of approximately \$40,275.

III. EXECUTION OF THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

28. On or about March 3, 2021, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant PEREZ, directly and indirectly and together with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and the facilities of national securities exchanges, in connection with the purchase and sale of GFN securities, employed a device, scheme and artifice to defraud members of the investing public and engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon a person, in that defendant PEREZ executed and willfully caused to be executed a securities transaction, namely,

the purchase of 21,000 GFN shares at an average price of approximately \$11.42 per share for a total price of approximately \$239,968, on the basis of material nonpublic information relating to the transaction that he used in breach of a duty of trust and confidence that he owed directly and indirectly to the issuer of those securities, to the shareholders of the issuer, and to other persons and entities that were the source of the material nonpublic information. E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division RANEE A. KATZENSTEIN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Major Frauds Section STEVEN M. ARKOW Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section

EXHIBIT B

3

IN SUPPORT OF PLEA AGREEMENT

STATEMENT OF FACTS

45

6

7

Defendant MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ, also known as "Marc Perez" ("defendant PEREZ") represents and admits that the following facts are true:

8

Background:

9

At times relevant to the charge in the Information:

10 11

General Finance Corporation

1. General Finance Corporation ("GFN") was a specialty rental

12

services company that offered portable storage, modular space, liquid containment, and pod storage space, and was based in Pasadena,

1314

California. Shares of GFN were publicly traded on the National

15

Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Stock Market

16

("NASDAQ"), a national securities exchange, under the symbol "GFN." $\,$

17 18

GFN was an issuer with securities registered under Section 12 of the

19

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and was required

20

to file reports under Section 13 of the Exchange Act.

21

2. GFN had an Insider Trading and Disclosure Policy (the "Insider Trading Policy"), with an effective date of June 19, 2014,

23

22

that applied to employees of GFN and family trusts controlled by or benefitting individuals subject to the policy.

24

3. The Insider Trading Policy prohibited GFN employees from

25

trading in GFN stock while in possession of material nonpublic

26

information. The Insider Trading Policy stated:

27

Don't trade while in possession of material nonpublic information. From time to time [sic] you may come into

- possession of material nonpublic information as a result of your relationship with the Company. You may not buy, sell or trade Company Securities at any time while you possess material nonpublic information concerning the Company.
- 4. The Insider Trading Policy defined material nonpublic information by stating the following: (a) "information should be regarded as material if it is likely that it would be considered important to an investor in making an investment decision regarding a purchase or sale of [GFN] Securities" and (b) "information about the [GFN] is considered to be 'nonpublic' if it is known within the company but not yet disclosed to the general public"; "information is considered 'public' only after it has been publicly available, through press release or otherwise, for at least twenty-four hours." The Insider Trading Policy also warned: "Don't give nonpublic information to others. Don't give nonpublic information concerning [GFN] (referred to as "tipping"), to any other person, including family members, and don't make recommendations or express opinions about trading in [GFN] securities under any circumstances."
- 5. GFN employees were routinely advised by GFN's General Counsel about insider trading laws and GFN's internal policies prohibiting GFN employees from trading in GFN stock while in possession of material nonpublic information.

Defendant PEREZ

- 6. Defendant PEREZ" was a resident of Glendora, California.
- 7. Defendant PEREZ held securities investment brokerage accounts at E*Trade, including: (a) an individual brokerage account ending xx-2942; and (b) an Individual Retirement account ("IRA") ending xx-6397. Defendant PEREZ also had trading authority and

traded in a trust account at E*Trade ending xx-5876 held in the name of (A. and P.) Perez Family Trust.

- 8. Defendant PEREZ was the accountholder and user of the email account Mperezxxxxxxx@yahoo.com.
- 9. Beginning in or about July 2014, defendant PEREZ was employed as an accounting manager at GFN and reported directly to the chief financial officer ("CFO") at GFN. Defendant PEREZ also performed assignments for GFN's executive chairman of the board. Among other things, GFN's executive chairman often forwarded emails that he had received to defendant PEREZ and asked defendant PEREZ to print out the email and its attachments and deliver the printed-out hard copies to him.
- 10. Through his employment with GFN and his relationship to the executive chairman of the board, defendant PEREZ had access to material nonpublic information belonging to GFN, including information about offers from other companies to acquire GFN, prior to such information being released to the investing public. As defendant PEREZ knew, information about offers to acquire GFN, when known to the investing public, would result in GFN's share price increasing,
- 11. As a corporate insider, defendant PEREZ owed a fiduciary duty and duty of trust and confidence to GFN and its shareholders.
- 12. Defendant PEREZ signed GFN's Insider Trading and Disclosure Policy Acknowledgement on or about June 27, 2014. GFN's Insider Trading Policy governed defendant PEREZ's trading in GFN stock. Defendant PEREZ also received periodic emails from GFN's General Counsel regarding the insider trading policy and, pursuant to that

policy, black-out periods surrounding GFN's release of quarterly earnings.

United Rentals, Inc.

13. United Rentals, Inc. ("URI") was the largest equipment rental company in the world and was based in Stamford, Connecticut.

URI publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "URI."

The Scheme to Defraud

14. Between in or about February 2021, and on or about April 15, 2021, defendant PEREZ learned that GFN was in the process of being acquired, which was nonpublic information that a reasonable investor would find to be material to the decision whether or not to trade in GFN securities. Defendant PEREZ learned this information, among other ways, by reading the confidential emails and attachments to those emails that GFN's executive chairman of the board had forwarded to defendant PEREZ's email address

Mperezxxxxxxx@yahoo.com. For example:

- a. On or about February 16, 2021, after GFN's executive chairman of the board received, via email, a non-binding offer from Company A to buy a wholly owned subsidiary of GFN, GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the offer to defendant PEREZ.
- b. On or about February 22, 2021, after GFN's executive chairman of the board received, via email, a proposal from Company B to acquire GFN for a purchase price of \$17.00 per share, GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the letter concerning the potential acquisition of GFN to defendant PEREZ.
- c. On or about March 3, 2021, after GFN's executive chairman of the board received, via email, a letter of interest from

URI presenting a proposal to acquire GFN, valuing GFN "in the range of \$19-20 per share," GFN's executive chairman forwarded that email attaching the letter of interest to defendant PEREZ. The letter of interest stated "[t]his proposal and its terms are confidential and must be treated as such."

Defendant PEREZ's Securities Transactions on the Basis Of Material Nonpublic Information

- 15. On or about March 2, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 5,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$10.74 for a total purchase price of \$53,693 in his individual brokerage account at E*Trade ending xx-2942.
- 16. On or about March 3, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 21,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.42 for a total purchase price of \$239,968 in his individual brokerage account at E*Trade ending xx-2942.
- 17. On or about March 3, 2021, defendant PEREZ bought 5,250 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.29 for a total purchase price of \$59,275 in his IRA account at E*Trade ending xx-6397.
- 18. On or about March 8, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, bought 9,035 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of approximately \$11.80 for a total purchase price of \$106,660 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.
- 19. On or about March 9, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, bought 20,000 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of \$12.06 for a total purchase price of \$241,201 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.

20. On or about March 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, bought 6,300 shares of GFN stock at an average share price of \$11.66 for a total purchase price of \$73,485 in an account at E*Trade ending xx-5876.

21. On April 15, 2021, at the close of the market, GFN's stock price was \$12.17 per share.

Defendant PEREZ's Securities Transactions to Reap the Profits

Made Possible by his Purchases of GFN Shared Based on Material

Nonpublic Information

- 22. On April 15, 2021, following the market's close, URI issued a press release publicly announcing for the first time that it would acquire GFN at \$19 per share in cash. Based on GFN's public announcement of the acquisition, the share price for GFN increased to approximately \$19 on April 16, 2021.
- 23. On or about April 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ sold 26,000 shares of GFN stock from his individual account at E*Trade ending xx-2942 at an average share price of approximately \$18.96 for a total sales price of \$492,960, and a profit of approximately \$199,299.
- 24. On or about April 23, 2021, defendant PEREZ, on behalf of the Perez Family Trust, sold 35,335 shares of GFN stock from the account at E*Trade ending xx-5876 at an average share price of \$18.97 for a total sales price of \$670,305, and a profit of approximately \$248,959.
- 25. On or about April 26, 2021, defendant PEREZ sold 5,250 shares of GFN stock from his IRA account at E*Trade ending xx-6397 at an average share price of approximately \$18.96 for a total sales price of \$99,550, and a profit of approximately \$40,275.

26. In sum, defendant PEREZ realized a total profit from his trades in GFN stock in accounts that he controlled of approximately \$488,533.

Defendant PEREZ's Tips to Others

- 27. Based on the material inside information that he possessed, defendant PEREZ encouraged certain third parties ("Tippees") to purchase GFN stock.
- a. Between March 29, 2021, and April 14, 2021, Tippee No. 1 purchased 17,567 shares of GFN stock at prices ranging from \$11.42 per share to \$12.20 per share. On April 16, 2021, Tippee No. 1 sold all of these shares for prices ranging from \$18.90 per share to \$18.92 per share, for a total profit of \$127,140.
- b. Between April 5, 2020, and April 6, 2021, Tippee No. 2 purchased 5,123 shares of GFN stock at prices ranging from \$12.19 per share to \$12.21 per share. On May 26, 2021, Tippee No. 2 sold all of these shares for a price of \$18.96 per share, for a total profit of \$34,867.

Case 2:23-cr-00476-FLA Document 6 Filed 09/27/23 Page 31 of 32 Page ID #:47

I have read this STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PLEA AGREEMENT in its entirety. I have had enough time to review this statement of facts and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in the plea agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 11 of the plea agreement.

MARCO	ANTONIO	PEREZ	—)
Defendant			

9.13-2023

I am Marco Antonio Perez's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this statement of facts with my client and agree that it is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in the plea agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 11 of the plea agreement.

SCOTT D. TENLEY

Attorney for Defendant

19 MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ

09/13/2023

Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I, Teresa H. Terrell, declare: 3 That I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of or 4 employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business address is 5 the Office of United States Attorney, 312 North Spring Street, Los 6 Angeles, California 90012; that I am over the age of 18; and that I am not 7 a party to the above-titled action; 8 That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central 9 District of California, who is a member of the Bar of the United States 10 District Court for the Central District of California, at whose direction 11 I served a copy of: 12 13 PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT MARCO ANTONIO PEREZ; EXHIBITS A AND B (INFORMATION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PLEA AGREEMENT) 14 ☐ Placed in a closed envelope for 15 collection and inter-office collection and mailing via delivery, addressed as follows: United States certified mail, 16 addressed as follows: 17 Marco Antonio Perez c/o Scott D. Tenley 18 315 W. 9th Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90015 19 \square By hand delivery, addressed as \square By facsimile, as follows: 20 follows: 21 \square Via email, as follows: \square By Federal Express, as follows: 22 This Certificate is executed on September 27, 2023, at Los Angeles, 23 California. I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true 24 and correct. 25 Teresa H. Terrell 26 Teresa H. Terrell 27 Legal Assistant 28